

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,540	10/18/2006	Yasuhisa Hagiwara	284677US-90-PCT	2092
	7590 12/06/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			KERNS, KEVIN P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/565,540	HAGIWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin P. Kerns	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Manager than the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
_	la					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 November 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 7-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Dobjected t	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have been ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the 4<sup>th</sup> line of the claim, insert "be" before "driven". Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 3, and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10-317084.

Art Unit: 1793

JP 10-317084 discloses a continuous casting apparatus and method for casting a metal (aluminum) cast member comprising the steps of driving a casting wheel 10 which has a groove formed on an external peripheral surface thereof, such that an endless belt 12 is closed over the groove in a direction of casting (abstract; and Figures 1, 2, and 6). JP 10-317084 fails to specifically teach that the casting wheel and endless belt are differentiated in temperature therebetween by heating the endless belt to a temperature of ((melting point or liquidus-line temperature of the metal) x 0.35) or above, before the belt contacts the molten metal.

However, it would have been obvious and conventional in the continuous casting art to heat the endless belt at a higher temperature than the melting point or liquidus point of the intended metal to be cast, since at higher temperatures the molten metal would still be kept in a liquidus state until it passes a predetermined distance on the casting wheel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to control the endless belt to a temperature of ((melting point or liquidus-line temperature of the metal) x 0.35) or above, since this would involve routine experimentation to find optimum results. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to try to find a control temperature and/or range of temperatures for the endless belt, since the applicants are choosing from a finite number of identified predictable solutions with a reasonable expectation of success, in order to obtain optimum heating. *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 82 USPQ.2d 1385 (S.Ct. 2007).

Art Unit: 1793

### Response to Arguments

5. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' amendment received by the USPTO on November 5, 2007. The amendments overcome the prior 35 USC 102(b) rejections over JP 5-311271 and JP 62-292244. However, the amendment has introduced a new objection to claim 12 (see above section 1). The applicants have cancelled claims 2, 4-6, and 13. Claims 1, 3, and 7-12 are currently under consideration in the application.

6. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, and 7-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control.Number: 10/565,540

Art Unit: 1793

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571)

272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1177. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin P. Kerns

Kerin Kens 11/28/07 **Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1793

KPK

November 28, 2007